State of California Board of Equalization

Memorandum

435.1363

Го:	Out-of-State Principal Auditor (JEW)	Date:	July 17, 1985	
From:	Legal (DJH)			
Subject:	X			
	This is in response to your memo to me of May 17, 1985 pointing out an apparent conflict between John H. Murray's memo of March 7, 1980, concerning X and Legal Counsel James A. Davis' April 26, 1985 opinion letter to X			
	Hearing Officer Jim Mahler's Decision and Recommendation (con 1985 on the petition of X I am attaching a copy of a Assistant Chief Counsel Gary Jugum to me. Mr. Jugum's memo a conflict, states our conclusion that Mr. Mahler's hearing report on	ch shows that Mr. Davis' letter opinion followed the conclusions reached in im Mahler's Decision and Recommendation (copy enclosed) of February 21, on of X I am attaching a copy of a July 10, 1985 memo from Jounsel Gary Jugum to me. Mr. Jugum's memo agrees with you that there is a r conclusion that Mr. Mahler's hearing report on X is correct, points are petition is still in reaudit status, and anticipates that a Board hearing the held on X's petition.		
	As recommended by Mr. Jugum, the Out-of-State District should on a six or nine month follow up and then proceed according to the's petition.	-		
	Your memo also questions the April 26, 1985 X	opinion g its own at the cr ieve it li refinery	makes an unlikely a crude oil and that, rude oil will be ikely, as we assume you and that, therefore, the	

charge for processing the products other than jet fuel will not be subject to sales tax as a Section 6006(b) processing because such other products are for resale by X-----. We will write X----- stating this re-evaluation of the facts and modify our result as to the processing and

DJH:rar

send you a copy.